



**EVALUATION FORM FOR ESSAYS
TO PUBLISH ON «XY» JOURNAL**
www.xydigitale.it

ESSAY TITLE _____

Proposed on _____, «XY» number _____

Identification number _____

EDITORIAL REVIEW

«XY» journal publishes the only contributions that respect editorial standards, to be considered binding. If the judgment expressed in the following fields is not fully positive, the author must make all indicated integrations and changes.

Text, abstract, keywords, and biographical note respect the limits

YES NO PARTIALLY

Any comment: _____

Bibliography and references are drawn up in accordance with the criteria set out in the journal

YES NO PARTIALLY

Any comment: _____

The essay respects the guidelines contained in editorial standards

YES NO PARTIALLY

Any comment: _____

English version is complete and written correctly

YES NO PARTIALLY

Any comment: _____

The images are of adequate quality and number, accompanied by relevant captions

YES NO PARTIALLY

Any comment: _____



SCIENTIFIC REVIEW

Each essay is evaluated by two anonymous reviewers. In case of conflicting opinion, the text is sent to a third expert. In any case, the final decision is for the Director and the Scientific Committee of the journal.

The following questions have the purpose of reminding the reviewer that «XY» welcomes in its pages specific thematic areas, with the aim of making possible their comparison. The judgment of merit must be expressed irrespective of whether the thinking positions can or may not be shared (it must be understood that: 1=noway, 5=totally).

Reviewer's Name and Surname: _____

Date of review: _____

The proposed theme belongs to «XY»'s fields of interest

- faces new theoretical and / or unpublished interdisciplinary connections
- outlines new applied research procedures
- exposes reflections on known experiences to rediscover and complete

Evaluation from 1 to 5: _____

Contents develop disciplinary fundamentals

- with theoretical insights
- in technical-methodological areas
- with applications to concrete cases

Evaluation from 1 to 5: _____

The references mentioned in the text are relevant

- because they carefully document the state of the art of research
- thanks to the completeness of the bibliographic references
- thanks to the suggestion of study paths to undertake

Evaluation from 1 to 5: _____

The iconographic apparatus enclosed to text is expressive and effective

- thanks to the singularity and pertinence of the images
- thanks to the communicative and aesthetic value of the images

Evaluation from 1 to 5: _____

Exposure uses a correct and clear language

- and coherently articulates the scientific discourse (introduction, discussion of concepts, conclusions)
- and convincingly presents the arguments making them accessible for dissemination purposes

Evaluation from 1 to 5: _____

The essay is published with the following judgment

- YES
- NO
- WITH CHANGES
- WITH CHANGES AND FURTHER REVIEW

Comments, directions and motivations for the author of the essay (binding text, max 2000 credits)

Any comments for editorial staff (they will not be communicated to the author of the essay)



**UNIVERSITÀ
DI TRENTO**

FINAL EVALUATION (by the Editorial Team)

- Accept**
- Revision Required**
- Revision and Resubmission**
- Decline**